

CHARACTERIZATION OF A FOUR-DIMENSIONAL  
GLOBALLY OSSERMAN MANIFOLDS USING TRACE  
AND DETERMINANT OF JACOBI OPERATOR

Julian Tzankov Tzankov, Veselin Totev Videv

Abstract

Let  $(M, g)$  be a four-dimensional Riemannian manifold. The Jacobi operator  $R_X$  is symmetric linear endomorphism of the tangent space  $M_p$  at a point  $p \in M$  defined by  $R_X(u) = R(u, X, X)$ , where  $X$  always belongs to the unit sphere  $S_p M$  at  $p$ . If eigenvalues of  $R_X$  are pointwise constants on  $M$ , then  $(M, g)$  is called pointwise Osserman manifold. In this paper we prove that  $(M, g)$  is a four-dimensional Riemannian manifold such that trace and determinant of Jacobi operator  $R_X$  are a globally constant on  $M$  if and only if  $(M, g)$  (almost everywhere) locally is a globally Osserman manifold.

AMS Subject Classifications: 53B20, 15A69.

Let  $(M, g)$  be an  $n$ -dimensional Riemannian manifold with metric tensor  $g$  and curvature tensor  $R$ . The Jacobi operator  $R_X$  is a symmetric linear endomorphism of the tangent space  $M_p$  at a point  $p \in M$  defined by  $R_X(u) = R(u, X, X)$ , where  $X$  belongs to the unit sphere  $S_p M$ . Since  $X$  is eigenvector of  $R_X$  with the corresponding eigenvalue 0, then the characteristic equation

$$(1) \quad \det(R(e_i, X, X, e_j) - cg_{ij}) = 0$$

of  $R_X$  with a root  $c$  can be represented in another form

$$(2) \quad c(c^{n-1} + J_1 c^{n-2} + \dots + J_{n-2} c + J_{n-1}) = 0,$$

where  $J_i = J_i(p; X)$ ,  $(i = 1, 2, \dots, n-1)$ . We have from (1) that  $J_1(p; X) = \text{trace} R_X$  and  $J_{n-1}(p; X) = \det R_X$ . A Riemannian manifold  $(M, g)$  is called a globally Osserman manifold if the eigenvalues of Jacobi operator  $R_X$  are a globally constants on  $M$ , respectively  $(M, g)$  is called a pointwise Osserman manifold if the eigenvalues of Jacobi operator  $R_X$  are a pointwise constants on  $M$  [1]. A globally Osserman manifolds was investigated from Quo-Shin-Chi which proved that an  $n$ -dimensional Riemannian manifold  $(M, g)$  is a globally Osserman manifold if and only if  $(M, g)$  locally is a symmetric space of rank 1 or  $(M, g)$  is a space of constant sectional curvature, and it holds when  $n \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$ ,  $n \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$  and  $n = 4$  [7]. The Osserman conjecture was generalized using characteristic coefficients of Jacobi operator in the case  $\dim M = 4$  as follows:

**Theorem 1** [10] *A four-dimensional Riemannian manifold  $(M, g)$  (almost everywhere) locally is a globally Osserman manifold if and only if the characteristic coefficients  $J_1$  and  $J_2$  of Jacobi operator  $R_X$  are a globally constants on  $M$ .*

Further in the present paper we will use the following:

**Proposition 1** [3] [9] *If a four-dimensional Riemannian manifold  $(M, g)$  is a pointwise Osserman manifold, then is hold transformation:*

$$(3) \quad \begin{aligned} Y &= \alpha X + \beta X_1 + \gamma X_2 + \delta X_3, \\ Y_1 &= -\beta X + \alpha X_1 - \delta X_2 + \gamma X_3, \\ Y_2 &= -\gamma X + \delta X_1 + \alpha X_2 - \beta X_3, \\ Y_3 &= -\delta X - \gamma X_1 + \beta X_2 + \gamma X_3, \end{aligned}$$

for the eigenvectors  $X, X_1, X_2, X_3$  of the Jacobi operator  $R_X$  and for the eigenvectors  $Y, Y_1, Y_2, Y_3$  of the Jacobi operator  $R_Y$ , where  $X, Y \in S_p M$  and hence  $\alpha^2 + \beta^2 + \gamma^2 + \delta^2 = 1$ .

Q. Sh. Chi proved either that if  $(M, g)$  is a four-dimensional pointwise Osserman manifold, then the eigenvector fields of any Jacobi operator  $R_X$  (say  $X, A, B, C$ ) are a smooth vector fields suppose defined in a neighborhood  $U_p$  at a point  $p \in M$ .

We remark that at a single point  $p \in M$  holds  $X|_p = X, X_1|_p = A, X_2|_p = B, X_3|_p = C$ . Stanilov and Belger proved the following:

**Proposition 2** [9] *Let  $(M, g)$  be a pointwise Osserman manifold. Then at a neighborhood of any point of manifold the eigenvalues  $a, b, c$  and the eigenvector fields  $X, A, B, C$  of any Jacobi operator  $R_X$  satisfied the following two systems:*

$$(4) \quad \begin{aligned} \varphi(c - b) + \psi(c - a) &= 0, \\ \psi(a - c) + \theta(a - b) &= 0, \\ \theta(b - a) + \phi(b - c) &= 0; \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(5) \quad \begin{aligned} X(a) &= (\mu + \nu)a - \nu b - \mu c, \\ X(b) &= -\nu a + (\nu + \lambda)b - \lambda c, \\ X(c) &= -\mu a - \lambda b + (\lambda + \mu)c, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi &= g(\nabla_A B, C), \quad \psi = g(\nabla_B C, A), \quad \theta = g(\nabla_C A, B), \\ \lambda &= g(\nabla_A A, X), \quad \mu = g(\nabla_B B, X), \quad \nu = g(\nabla_C C, X). \end{aligned}$$

Our main aim in this note is to complete the result of Theorem 1 proving the following:

**Theorem 2** *Let  $(M, g)$  be a four-dimensional Riemannian manifold. Then  $(M, g)$  (almost everywhere) locally is a globally Osserman manifold if and only if the characteristic coefficients  $J_1$  and  $J_3 \neq 0$  (trace and determinant) of Jacobi operator  $R_X$  are a globally constants on  $M$ .*

Proof. Because the only if part is trivial we will prove in the sequel only the if part. From our assumption  $J_1$  and  $J_3 \neq 0$  to be globally constants on  $M$  it follows that  $J_1$  and  $J_3 \neq 0$  are pointwise constants on  $M$ , which is hold if and only if  $(M, g)$  is a pointwise Osserman [8]. If we denote by  $\alpha(p)$  the matrix of the system (5) considering  $a, b, c$  as variables, then according to our hypothesis  $(M, g)$  to be a pointwise Osserman it follows that  $\alpha(p)$  has a pointwise entries and also  $Rank\alpha(p)$  is a pointwise function at any point  $p \in M$ . All possibilities cases of  $Rank\alpha(p)$  are  $Rank\alpha(p) = 1, 2, 3$  In these cases any Jacobi operator  $R_X$  has respectively 1, 2, 3 eigenvalues. Further we will consider all this cases separately.

At first we denote by  $\Omega_i$  the subsets of  $M$  defined by the property that any Jacobi operator  $R_X$  has  $i$  eigenvalues on  $\Omega_i$  where  $i = 1, 2, 3$ . Following Kato [2] we can prove easily that all sets  $\Omega_1, \Omega_2, \Omega_3$  are open and dense almost everywhere on  $M$ .

Case 1. Let  $Rank\alpha(p) = 1$ . Then any Jacobi operator  $R_X$  has three equal eigenvalues at any point  $p \in \Omega_1$ , i.e.:

$$(6) \quad a(p) = b(p) = c(p).$$

and  $(M, g)$  is a space of a pointwise constant sectional curvature at  $p$ . According to the Shour's theorem we have that  $(M, g)$  is a space of constant sectional curvature and hence  $(M, g)$  is a globally Osserman manifold on  $\Omega_1$  [11].

Case 2. If  $Rank\alpha(p) = 2$ , then any Jacobi operator  $R_X$  has two eigenvalues at any point  $q \in \Omega_1$ . Now we have  $\alpha_{13}(q)\alpha_{32}(q)\alpha_{33}(q) = 0$  and as we said above two eigenvalues of Jacobi operator are equal, suppose

$$(7) \quad a(q) \neq b(q) = c(q)$$

Then system (5) defined in an open neighborhood  $V_q \subset \Omega_2$  has the form

$$(8) \quad \begin{aligned} X(a) &= (\mu + \nu)(a - b), \\ X(b) &= \mu(b - a) = \nu(b - a). \end{aligned}$$

From our assumption  $X(J_3) = 0$  and from the last system we have

$$X(J_3) = b(a - b)(\mu + \nu) = 0.$$

From here and (7) we have  $b(q) = 0$  or  $(\mu + \nu)(q) = 0$ .

In the first subcase when  $b = 0$ , from (8) it follows that  $c = 0$  and now from any results in [4] we have that  $(M, g)$  is flat on  $V_q$ , which is a trivial case for a globally Osserman manifold.

In the subcase or  $(\mu + \nu)(q) = 0$  from the system (8) we have  $X(a) = 0$  and then  $X(b + c) = 0$  on  $V_q$ . Now from the second row of (8) we get  $X(b) = -\mu a = -\nu a$ , hence  $X(b) = (\mu - \nu)a = 0$  at  $q$ . Further from (8) we have  $(\mu - \nu)(q) = 0$  at  $q$  and hence  $\mu(q) = \nu(q) = 0$  at  $q$ . Then from the system (5) it follows that  $X(a) = X(b) = X(c) = 0$  at any point  $q \in M$  which means that  $a, b, c$  are a globally constants and hence  $(M, g)$  is a globally Osserman manifold.

Case 3. If  $Rank\alpha(p) = 3$ , then any Jacobi operator  $R_X$  has three eigenvalues different from zero on a neighborhood (say  $U_p$ ) at a point  $p \in \Omega_3$ . In this case we have

$\alpha_{13}(p)\alpha_{32}(p)\alpha_{33}(p) \neq 0$  and it is possible to write the well-known relation (6):

$$(9) \quad \frac{\varphi(p; X)}{\alpha_{31}(p)} = \frac{\psi(p; X)}{\alpha_{32}(p)} = \frac{\theta(p; X)}{\alpha_{33}(p)}$$

Now from (9) using the quaternionic transformation (3) we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(p; X) &= g(\nabla_A B, C), & \psi(p; X) &= g(\nabla_A C, A), & \theta(p; X) &= g(\nabla_C A, B), \\ \varphi(p; A) &= g(\nabla_X C, B), & \psi(p; A) &= g(\nabla_C B, X), & \theta(p; A) &= g(\nabla_B X, C), \\ \varphi(p; B) &= g(\nabla_C X, A), & \psi(p; B) &= g(\nabla_X A, C), & \theta(p; B) &= g(\nabla_X C, B), \\ \varphi(p; C) &= g(\nabla_B A, X), & \psi(p; C) &= g(\nabla_A X, B), & \theta(p; C) &= g(\nabla_X B, A), \end{aligned}$$

$$(10) \quad \begin{aligned} \varphi(p; aX + bA) &= -a^3 g(\nabla_A B, C) + a^2 b g(\nabla_X B, C) + \\ &\quad + a^2 b (g(\nabla_A B, C) - g(\nabla_X B, C)) - b^3 g(\nabla_X B, C), \\ \psi(p; aX + bA) &= a^3 g(\nabla_B C, A) + b^3 g(\nabla_C B, X) + \\ &\quad + a^2 b (g(\nabla_B B, A) - g(\nabla_B C, X) - g(\nabla_C C, A)) + \\ &\quad + ab^2 (g(\nabla_C C, X) - g(\nabla_C B, A) - g(\nabla_B B, X)), \\ \theta(p; aX + bA) &= a^3 g(\nabla_C A, B) + \\ &\quad + a^2 b (g(\nabla_B A, B) - g(\nabla_C X, B) + g(\nabla_C C, A)) + \\ &\quad + ab^2 (g(\nabla_B A, B) - g(\nabla_B A, C) - g(\nabla_C C, X)) + \\ &\quad + b^3 g(\nabla_B X, C). \end{aligned}$$

Using (9) after a substitutions of  $X$  by  $A, B, C$  and having in mind (10) we get

$$(11) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{g(\nabla_A B, C)}{\alpha_{31}(p)} &= \frac{g(\nabla_B C, A)}{\alpha_{32}(p)} = \frac{g(\nabla_C A, B)}{\alpha_{33}(p)}, \\ \frac{g(\nabla_X C, B)}{\alpha_{31}(p)} &= \frac{g(\nabla_C B, X)}{\alpha_{32}(p)} = \frac{g(\nabla_B X, C)}{\alpha_{33}(p)}, \\ \frac{g(\nabla_C X, A)}{\alpha_{31}(p)} &= \frac{g(\nabla_X A, C)}{\alpha_{32}(p)} = \frac{g(\nabla_A C, X)}{\alpha_{33}(p)}, \\ \frac{g(\nabla_B A, X)}{\alpha_{31}(p)} &= \frac{g(\nabla_A X, B)}{\alpha_{32}(p)} = \frac{g(\nabla_X B, A)}{\alpha_{33}(p)}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\alpha_{ij}(p)$  ( $i, j = 1, 2, 3, 4$ ) are a minors of  $\alpha(p)$ . Further we apply (5) for a tangent vector  $aX + bA$  where  $a$  and  $b$  are an arbitrary real numbers such that  $a^2 + b^2 = 1$ . According to (10) and using (11) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &a^2 b (\alpha_{32}(p) g(\nabla_X C, B) - \alpha_{31}(p) (g(\nabla_B B, A) - g(\nabla_C C, A) - g(\nabla_B C, X))) + \\ &+ ab^2 (\alpha_{32}(p) g(\nabla_A B, C) - \alpha_{31}(p) (g(\nabla_C C, X) - g(\nabla_B B, X) - g(\nabla_C A, B))) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

From this equality and (9) according to the denotions above we have:

$$\alpha_{31}(p)(\varphi - \theta - \nu + \mu) = 0$$

Applying (9) for the tangent vector  $aX + bB$  and  $aX + bC$  we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha_{32}(p)(\psi - \varphi + \nu - \lambda) &= 0, \\ \alpha_{33}(p)(\theta - \psi + \lambda - \mu) &= 0\end{aligned}$$

and hence we have the system:

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha_{31}(p)(\varphi - \theta - \nu + \mu) &= 0, \\ \alpha_{32}(p)(\psi - \varphi + \nu - \lambda) &= 0, \\ \alpha_{33}(p)(\theta - \psi + \lambda - \mu) &= 0\end{aligned}$$

Because of a minors  $\alpha_{31}(p), \alpha_{32}(p), \alpha_{33}(p)$  are different from zero then we have the system:

$$(12) \quad \begin{aligned}\varphi - \theta - \nu + \mu &= 0, \\ \psi - \varphi + \nu - \lambda &= 0, \\ \theta - \psi + \lambda - \mu &= 0.\end{aligned}$$

First we consider the equality  $\varphi - \theta - \nu + \mu = 0$  or

$$g(\nabla_A B, C) - g(\nabla_C A, B) = g(\nabla_C C, X) - g(\nabla_B B, X).$$

Changing in this equality  $X$  by  $aX + bA$  and using (3) we obtain:

$$(13) \quad \begin{aligned}&a^3(g(\nabla_A B, C) - g(\nabla_C X, B) - g(\nabla_C C, X) - g(\nabla_B B, X)) + \\ &+ b^3(g(\nabla_X C, B) - g(\nabla_B A, C) - g(\nabla_B B, A) - g(\nabla_C C, A)) + \\ &+ a^2b(-g(\nabla_X B, C) - g(\nabla_B X, B) + g(\nabla_C X, C) - g(\nabla_C A, B)) - \\ &- g(\nabla_C C, X) - g(\nabla_C B, X) - g(\nabla_C C, A) + \\ &+ g(\nabla_B B, A) - g(\nabla_B C, X) - g(\nabla_B B, X)) + \\ &+ ab^2(-g(\nabla_A C, B) + g(\nabla_B X, C) - g(\nabla_B A, B) - g(\nabla_C A, C) - \\ &- g(\nabla_B B, X) - g(\nabla_B C, A) - g(\nabla_C B, A) - g(\nabla_B C, B)) + \\ &g(\nabla_C B, A) - g(\nabla_C C, X)) = 0.\end{aligned}$$

From here we get

$$(14) \quad \begin{aligned}g(\nabla_A B, C) - g(\nabla_C A, B) - g(\nabla_C C, X) + g(\nabla_B B, X) &= 0, \\ g(\nabla_X C, B) - g(\nabla_B A, C) - g(\nabla_B B, A) - g(\nabla_C C, A) &= 0.\end{aligned}$$

Using (3) we can either check that these equalities are equivalents. From (13) we have also

$$(15) \quad \begin{aligned}g(\nabla_X B, C) + g(\nabla_B B, X) - g(\nabla_C C, X) + g(\nabla_C A, B) - \\ - 2g(\nabla_B C, X) - 2g(\nabla_C B, X) - g(\nabla_C C, A) + g(\nabla_B B, A) &= 0, \\ g(\nabla_X C, B) - g(\nabla_B X, C) + g(\nabla_B B, A) - g(\nabla_C C, A) - \\ - 2g(\nabla_B C, A) - 2g(\nabla_C B, A) - g(\nabla_C C, X) - g(\nabla_B B, X) &= 0\end{aligned}$$

and using (3) we can see also that the last two equalities are equivalents. Thus from (14) and (15) we obtain the system

$$(16) \quad \begin{aligned} &g(\nabla_A B, C) - g(\nabla_C X, B) - g(\nabla_C C, X) - g(\nabla_B B, X) = 0, \\ &-g(\nabla_X B, C) + g(\nabla_B B, X) - g(\nabla_C C, X) - g(\nabla_C A, B) - \\ &-2g(\nabla_B C, X) - 2g(\nabla_C B, X) - g(\nabla_C C, A) - g(\nabla_B B, A) = 0, \end{aligned}$$

and from here we have

$$(17) \quad g(\nabla_C A, B) - g(\nabla_C X, B) = 0.$$

Replasing in this system  $X$  by  $aX + bA$  and using (3) we obtain the equation:

$$\begin{aligned} &a^3(g(\nabla_C X, B) - g(\nabla_C A, B)) + b^3(g(\nabla_B X, C) + g(\nabla_B A, C)) + \\ &+ a^2b(-g(\nabla_B B, X) + g(\nabla_B B, A) + g(\nabla_C C, A) + \\ &+ g(\nabla_C A, B) + g(\nabla_C X, B) - g(\nabla_C C, A)) + \\ &+ ab^2(-g(\nabla_B B, X) - g(\nabla_B X, C) - g(\nabla_B B, A) + \\ &+ g(\nabla_B A, C) + g(\nabla_C X, X) - g(\nabla_C C, A)) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

We sum the coefficients before  $a^2b$  and  $ab^2$  which are vanishing and so we obtain the equality:

$$\begin{aligned} &-2g(\nabla_B B, X) + g(\nabla_C C, X) + g(\nabla_C A, B) + \\ &+ g(\nabla_C X, B) - g(\nabla_B X, C) + g(\nabla_B A, C) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Since the coefficients before  $a^3$  and  $b^3$  are vanishing, then

$$\begin{aligned} &g(\nabla_C X, B) + g(\nabla_C A, B) = 0, \\ &g(\nabla_B X, C) + g(\nabla_B A, C) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

and from here we have  $-\mu + \nu + \theta - \varphi = 0$ . Because from the results above we have  $-\mu + \nu + \theta - \psi = 0$ , then summing the last two equalities we obtain  $\varphi = \psi$ . Analogously changing in (17)  $X$  by  $aX + bB$  and having in mind (3) we obtain  $\varphi = \theta$ . Finally we have  $\varphi = \psi = \theta$  and then the system (4) has the form:

$$(18) \quad \begin{aligned} &\varphi(2a - b - c) = 0, \\ &\psi(2b - c - a) = 0, \\ &\theta(2c - a - b) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

If  $\varphi(p; X) \neq 0$ , then we obtain (6) which is not possible when  $p \in \Omega_3$ , hence  $\varphi(p; X) = 0$ . Then  $\varphi = \psi = \theta$  and now the system (5) has the form:

$$(19) \quad \begin{aligned} X(a) &= \lambda(2a - b - c), \\ X(b) &= \lambda(2b - c - a), \\ X(c) &= \lambda(2c - a - b), \end{aligned}$$

for any tangent vector  $X \in \Omega_3$ . This expression of the system (5) which follows from the assumption  $\text{Rank}\alpha(p) = 3$ , contradict with our hypothesis  $(M, g)$  to be a pointwise Osserman on  $\Omega_3$  and now we prove this fact. Since  $J_1$  is a globally constant on  $M$  then  $X(J_1) = 0$  and from the system (5) it follows that:

$$(20) \quad X(J_2) = \lambda((a - b)^2 + (a - c)^2 + (b - c)^2).$$

Now from the Viet-formulas:

$$(21) \quad \begin{aligned} J_1 - \sigma_1 &= 0, \\ J_2 - J_1\sigma_1 + 2\sigma_1 &= 0, \\ J_3 - J_2\sigma_1 + J_1\sigma_2 - 3\sigma_1 &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

we obtain  $X(-J_1\sigma_1 + 2\sigma_1) = X(J_2) = 0$  which is not possible when  $p \in \Omega_3$  and it was proved in [8].

Finally we remark that if  $J_1$  is a pointwise constant at any point  $p \in M$  and if  $J_3 = 0$ , then  $(M, g)$  is a reducible space or  $(M, g)$  is flat [8]. Hence this result complete Theorem 2.

## References

- [1] P. Gilkey, A. Swann, L. Vanhecke. Isoparametric geodesic spheres and a conjecture of Osserman regarding the Jacobi Operator. Jour. Quart. Math. vol 46, (1995), 299-320.
- [2] T.Kato. Perturbation theory for linear operators. Berlin, Springer-Verlag, (1996).
- [3] K.Sekigava, L.Vanhecke. Volume preserving geodesic symmetries of four-dimensional 2-stein spaces. Kodai Math. J., 9, (1986), 215-224.
- [4] Gr.Stanilov. On the geometry of the Jacobi operators on 4-dimensional Riemannian manifolds. Tensor, N. S. , Vol. 51, ( 1992 ), 9-15.
- [5] R.Osserman. Curvature in the eighties . Jour Amer. Math. Monthly, vol. 97, (1990), 731-756.
- [6] A.G.Kurosh. Course of higher algebra. Moskva, (1959).
- [7] Q-Sh. Chi. Curvature characterisation and classification of the rank-one symmetric spaces, Pacific J. Math. 150 (1991), 31-42 .

- [8] V. Videv. Curvature operators in four-dimensional Riemannian and Lorentzian geometry. Ph.D-thesis. Sofia University "St. Kl.Ohridski"(1998).
- [9] M. Belger, G. Stanilov. About the Riemannian geometry of some curvature operators. Annuaire de l'Univ. Sofia 1(1995), to appear.
- [10] V. Videv. Characterization of a Four-Dimensional Globally Two-Stein Riemannian Manifolds. Matematika Balkanica (1999), to appear.
- [11] Gr. Stanilov. Differentiabl geometry. Nauka i Izkustvo. Sofia, (1988).

Julian Tzankov Tzankov,  
Faculty of Mathematics and Informatics,  
Department of Geometry, Sofia University "St. Kl. Ohridski",  
Blvd. "James Baucher" 5, 1164 Sofia, Bulgaria,  
e-mail:ucankov@fmi.uni-sofia.bg

Veselin Totev Videv,  
Department of Mathematics and Physics, Thrachian University,  
Student town, 6000 Stara Zagora, Bulgaria,  
e-mail:videv@uni-sz.bg