

## BOUNDS FOR THE UNIQUE POSITIVE ROOT OF A POLYNOMIAL IN MATHEMATICS OF FINANCE<sup>1</sup>

Nikolay Pavlov, Nikola Valchanov, Nikolay Kyurkchiev

**Abstract.** In this paper we obtain some lower bounds for the unique positive root (the effective rate of the bond, or effective rate of an annuity) of the algebraic equation  $x^n - \sum_{i=1}^n a_i x^{n-i} = 0$ . This is a further improvement of the known results in the financial mathematics. Interesting numerical examples are presented.

**Key words:** upper bounds for positive roots of algebraic polynomials, spectral radius, effective rate of the bond, internal rate of return of investment, cash flow streams of the investment

**2010 Mathematics Subject Classification:** 65H05

### 1. Introduction

Several problems in the classical mathematics of finance lead to a class of polynomial equation [3]:

$$(1) \quad P(x) = Cx^n - \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} B_j x^{n-j} - A = 0$$

with only one single positive root  $\sigma$ , where  $A$  is the purchase price of the bond paid to the issuer;  $B_j \equiv B$  is a periodic payment paid according to the contract

---

<sup>1</sup>This research has been partially supported by the project of Bulgarian National Scientific Found from 2010.

rate of the bond;  $C = K + B$ , where  $K$  is the purchase rate of the bond when sold at the bond market;  $n$  is the term of the bond (usually number of full years or months).

The effective rate of the bond  $\rho$  is given by the unique positive root  $\sigma$  of equation (1) (according to DESCARTES' rule of sign) and  $\rho = \frac{1}{\sigma} - 1$ .

For ordinary annuities with growing periodic payments we have:  $C$  is the present value of the annuity;  $B_j = h^{j-1}B$  is the periodic payment beginning with the amount of  $B$  and with growth factor  $h$  [9].

In the classical mathematics of finance one important measure for the profitability of an investment is its internal rate of return.

The internal rate of return is an abstract number associated with the cash flow streams of the investment.

For investment form the associated polynomial equation determining the internal rate of return is

$$(2) \quad P(x) = x^n - \sum_{i=1}^n a_i x^{n-i} = 0.$$

Various estimations for the unique root  $\sigma$  of equation (2) can be found in [2], [6], [8], [7] and [4].

In this paper we give another estimations.

The following theorems by Deutsch [1] are more often applicable:

**Theorem A.** Let  $A = (a_{ij})$  be a non-negative irreducible  $n \times n$  matrix and let  $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n)^T$  and  $y = (y_1, \dots, y_n)^T$  be positive vectors satisfying

$$Ax = Dx,$$

$$A^T y = Dy,$$

for some positive diagonal matrix

$$D = \text{diag}(d_1, d_2, \dots, d_n).$$

If  $x$  is not Perron vector of  $A$ , then

$$(3) \quad \rho(A) > \frac{y^T Dx}{y^T x},$$

where  $\rho(A)$  is the spectral radius of the matrix  $A$ .

**Theorem B.** Using the same notation as in Theorem A, then

$$(4) \quad \rho(A) \geq \prod_{i=1}^n d_i \frac{d_i x_i y_i}{y^T D x} \geq \frac{y^T D x}{y^T x}.$$

**Theorem C.** Using the same notation as in Theorem A, then

$$(5) \quad \rho(A) \geq t - \prod_{i=1}^n (t - d_i) \frac{x_i y_i}{y^T x} \geq \frac{y^T D x}{y^T x},$$

for all

$$t > \rho(A) + \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} (d_i - a_{ii}).$$

**Remark 1.**  $t = \beta + \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} (d_i - a_{ii})$  is a lower bound for  $\rho(A)$ .

Some of the possible values of  $\beta$  are:

- a) the largest row sum of  $A$ ;
- b) the largest column sum of  $A$ ;
- c)  $\max_i d_i$ .

## 2. Main results

We note that, the known estimations for the positive root  $\sigma$ , based on Theorems A - C are valid for  $x = (1, 1, \dots, 1)^T$ .

The first estimation for  $\sigma$ , based on Theorem B with arbitrary  $x_i = \lambda^i$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n$ ,  $\lambda > 0$  can be found in [4]:

$$(6) \quad \sigma \geq \frac{1}{\lambda} (q(\lambda))^{\frac{q(\lambda)}{\lambda q'(\lambda)}},$$

where

$$q(\lambda) = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \lambda^i.$$

Evidently,

$$0 = P(\sigma) = \sigma^n - \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \sigma^{n-i} = \sigma^n \left( 1 - q \left( \frac{1}{\sigma} \right) \right),$$

i.e.

$$q \left( \frac{1}{\sigma} \right) = 1.$$

**Remark 2.** Consequently, if we choos  $\lambda$  to be near  $\frac{1}{\sigma}$ , then the estimation (6) will be more precisely.

In this paper we give estimations for  $\sigma$ , based on Deutsch's Theorem C for arbitrary positive vector  $x$ .

We state the following

**Theorem 1.** Let

$$a_k \geq 0, k = 2, \dots, n, \sum_{i=1}^n a_i x_i \geq \left( \frac{x_1^n}{x_n} \right)^{\frac{1}{n-1}}.$$

For arbitrary  $x_1, \dots, x_n$ , for the positive root  $\sigma$  of the equation (2) the following estimation hold:

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma &\geq a_1 + \frac{2}{x_1} \sum_{i=2}^n a_i x_i - \left( \frac{1}{x_1} \sum_{i=2}^n a_i x_i \right)^{\frac{x_1}{n}} \frac{\sum_{i=2}^n \mu_i a_i x_i}{\sum_{i=2}^n a_i x_i} \\ (7) \quad &\times \left( a_1 + \frac{2}{x_1} \sum_{i=2}^n a_i x_i - \frac{x_1}{x_2} \right)^{\frac{x_2}{x_1} \sum_{i=2}^n a_i x_i} \frac{\sum_{i=2}^n \mu_i a_i x_i}{\sum_{i=2}^n a_i x_i} \\ &\times \dots \times \left( a_1 + \frac{2}{x_1} \sum_{i=2}^n a_i x_i - \frac{x_{n-1}}{x_n} \right)^{\frac{x_n}{x_{n-1}} \sum_{i=2}^n a_i x_i} \frac{\sum_{i=2}^n \mu_i a_i x_i}{\sum_{i=2}^n a_i x_i}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\mu_i = \sum_{k=1}^{i-1} \frac{x_{k+1}}{x_k}, \quad i = 2, 3, \dots, n.$$

**Proof.** Let us associate with the polynomial  $p(x)$  the corresponding matrix

$$A_{a_1, \dots, a_n}^{(n)} = \begin{pmatrix} a_1 & a_2 & a_3 & \dots & a_{n-1} & a_n \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & & & & & \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

with  $\det(A_{a_1, \dots, a_n}^{(n)} - xI) = (-1)^n P(x)$ .

The matrix  $A_{a_1, \dots, a_n}^{(n)}$  is non-negative and irreducible. By the Perron-Frobenius theorem, this implies that  $A_{a_1, \dots, a_n}^{(n)}$  has a positive eigenvalue equal to its spectral radius  $\rho(A_{a_1, \dots, a_n}^{(n)})$ , i.e.  $\rho(A_{a_1, \dots, a_n}^{(n)}) = \sigma$ .

Let  $A = A_{a_1, \dots, a_n}^{(n)}$ . From the relation

$$Ax = Dx,$$

we find

$$d_1 = \frac{1}{x_1} \sum_{i=1}^n a_i x_i; \quad d_k = \frac{x_{k-1}}{x_k}, \quad k = 2, 3, \dots, n.$$

Then the system

$$(A^T - D)y = 0$$

yields

$$y_1 = 1, \quad y_k = \frac{1}{x_{k-1}} \sum_{i=k}^n, \quad k = 2, 3, \dots, n.$$

Let (see, Theorem C)

$$t = \beta + \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} (d_i - a_i) = \max_i d_i + \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} (d_i - a_i).$$

Using the assumptions in Theorem 1 we have

$$d_1 - a_1 = \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} (d_i - a_i), \quad d_1 = \max_i d_i$$

and

$$(8) \quad t = a_1 + \frac{2}{x_1} \sum_{k=2}^n a_k x_k.$$

Now, we have

$$(9) \quad y^T x = x_1 + \sum_{i=2}^n \mu_i a_i x_i$$

and

$$(10) \quad x_1 y_1 = x_1, \quad x_k y_k = \frac{x_k}{x_{k-1}} \sum_{i=k}^n a_i x_i, \quad k = 2, 3, \dots, n.$$

Evidently

$$\begin{aligned} t - d_1 &= \frac{1}{x_1} \sum_{k=2}^n a_k x_k, \\ t - d_2 &= a_1 + \frac{2}{x_1} \sum_{k=2}^n a_k x_k - \frac{x_1}{x_2}, \\ &\vdots \\ t - d_n &= a_1 + \frac{2}{x_1} \sum_{k=2}^n a_k x_k - \frac{x_{n-1}}{x_n}. \end{aligned}$$

From the last expression, eq. (8), (9), (10) and from the left inequality in (5) we get the bound (7).

The proof is complete.

### 3. Special choice of the parameters

The vector  $x = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)^T > 0$  can be taken in arbitrary way.

First, if choose

$$x_i = \lambda^i, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n, \quad \lambda > 0$$

we get the following result.

**Corollary 1.** For arbitrary positive  $\lambda$  for the positive root  $\sigma$  of the polynomial  $P(x)$  the following estimation hold:

$$(11) \quad \sigma \geq a_1 + 2G - \sqrt[F]{G \left( a_1 + 2G - \frac{1}{\lambda} \right)^{F-1}}$$

where

$$G = \sum_{i=2}^n a_i \lambda^{i-1},$$

$$F = a_1 + \sum_{i=2}^n (i-1) a_i \lambda^i.$$

We note that the lower bound for  $\sigma$  is more precise.

**Remark 3.** Consequently, if we choos  $\lambda$  to be near  $\frac{1}{\sigma}$ , then the estimation (11) will be more precisely.

Another special choose for  $x_i$  be the following

$$x_{i_k} = \frac{a_{i_k}^{\mu_k - 1}}{a_{i_1}^{\mu_1} + a_{i_2}^{\mu_2} + \dots + a_{i_m}^{\mu_m}}, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, m,$$

where  $0 < x_i$  are arbitrary for  $i \neq i_1, i_2, \dots, i_m$ , and  $\mu_1, \mu_2, \dots, \mu_m$  are completely numbers.

**Remark 4.** The explicit bounds for the unique positive root in the term of finance can be obtained using the approach given in this paper.

**Remark 5.** Such results are important for the determination of the  $R$ -order of convergence of iterative process (IP) that produces a sequence of approximations  $\{x^k\}$  with the limit point  $x^*$ .

For the errors

$$\eta^{(k+1)} \leq \gamma \prod_{i=0}^{n-1} \left( \eta^{(k-i)} \right)^{a_{i+1}},$$

$$a_i \geq 0, i = 1, 2, \dots, n, \gamma > 0, k > n - 1.$$

The recurrence has the  $R$ -order of convergence  $O_R(IP, x^*)$  of at least  $\sigma$ , where  $\sigma$  is the unique positive root of the equation (2) (see, [5]).

#### 4. Numerical example

The polynomial

$$P(x) = x^3 - x^2 - 2x - 3 = 0$$

has the root  $\sigma \approx 2.37442$ .

We observe that in the case  $x_i \equiv 1$  from the known estimation by M.Petkovic and L. Petkovic [8]:

$$\sigma \geq \frac{y^T D x}{y^T x} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n i a_i}{1 + \sum_{i=2}^n a_i},$$

we find

$$\sigma \geq \frac{7}{3} = 2.3(3).$$

From (6) with  $\lambda = \frac{1}{2}$  we get the following bound:

$$\sigma \geq 2 (1.375)^{0.52380952380952380952} \approx 2.36305737.$$

From the new bound (11) with  $\lambda = \frac{1}{2}$  we find:

$$\sigma \geq 4.5 - (5.50127125486284241139)^{0.44444444444444444444} \approx 2.36648994.$$



## References

- [1] Deutsch, E., *Lower bounds for the Perron root of a non-negative irreducible matrix*, Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. v. 92, 1982, pp. 49–54.
- [2] Herzberger, J., *Einführung in die Finanzmathematik*, Wissenschaftsverlag GmbH, Oldenbourg, 1999.
- [3] Herzberger, J., K. Backhaus, *Explicit bounds and approximations for polynomial roots in mathematics of finance*, In: Proc. of the NMA 98, Sofia, 1998.
- [4] Hristov, V., N. Kyurkchiev, *Bounds and numerical methods for unique positive root of a polynomial*, Mathematica Balkanica, New Series, v. 13, (3-4), 1999, pp. 399–408.
- [5] Kyurkchiev, N., *Note on the estimation of the order of convergence of iterative processes*, BIT, v. 32, 1992, pp. 525–528.
- [6] Kyurkchiev, N., *Initial approximation and root finding methods*, WILEY-VCH Verlag Berlin GmbH, Berlin, v. 104, 1998.
- [7] Kyurkchiev, N., J. Herzberger, *On some bounds for polynomial roots obtained when determining the R order of iterative processes*, Serdica, v. 19, 1993, pp. 53–58.
- [8] Petkovic, M., L. Petkovic, *On the bounds of the R-order of some iterative methods*, ZAMM, v. 69, 1989, pp. 197–198.
- [9] Shao, S., L. Shao, *Mathematics for Management and Finance*, South-Western Publ. Comp., Cincinnati, 6th edition, 1990.

Faculty of Mathematics and Informatics  
Paisii Hilendarski University of Plovdiv  
236, Bulgaria Blvd.,  
4003 Plovdiv, Bulgaria

e-mail: npavlov@kodar.net, nvalchanov@gmail.com,  
nkyurk@uni-plovdiv.bg

Received 30 September 2010

Nikola Valchanov, Nikolay Kyurkchiev  
Institute of Mathematics and Informatics  
Bulgarian Academy of Sciences  
Acad. Georgi Bonchev Str., bl. 8  
1113 Sofia, Bulgaria

**ПРЕЦИЗНИ ОЦЕНКИ ЗА ЕДИНСТВЕНИЯ ПОЛОЖИТЕЛЕН  
КОРЕН НА ЕДИН КЛАС ПОЛИНОМИ ОТ  
ОБЛАСТТА НА ФИНАНСОВАТА МАТЕМАТИКА**

Николай Павлов, Никола Вълчанов, Николай Кюркчиев

**Резюме.** Редица класически проблеми от финансовата математика водят до изследване и получаване на прецизни оценки за нули на алгебричен полином, което е в тясна връзка с оценки за ефективен размер на бон с нарастваща премия и участие на вторичен пазар. Получените в настоящата статия оценки могат да се използват и за оценка на инвестиционни проекти и риск на възвръщаемост, както и за прецизиране на реда на сходимост на редица итерационни методи от алгебрата и анализа.